Introduction

Current coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, is challenging healthcare systems at a global level. All surgical procedures should be performed in highly selective cases (1). It is prudent to postpone non-oncological procedures and prioritize urgent cancer treatment. There should be an optimization of operating room and hospitalization time and complications minimized. The surgical care during the COVID-19 pandemic presents numerous challenges regarding not only patient and community safety, but also the safety of physicians and operating room (OR) staff.

Aerosol generating procedures of respiratory secretions and procedures transmitting droplets can spread the virus. The principles should be to keep droplet spread to a minimum and avoid aerosolization as far as possible (2).

Case Report

Technical requirements for a safe performance of TAMIS during COVID-19 pandemic: the role of spinal anaesthesia and AirSeal® – a case report

Maria João Amaral¹,², Mariana Vaz³, António Manso¹,², Manuel Rosete¹,², Nídia Gonçalves³, Marco Seródio¹,², José Guilherme Tralhão¹,²,⁴

¹General Surgery Department, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal; ²Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal; ³Anaesthesiology Department, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal; ⁴Coimbra Institute for Clinical and Biomedical Research (iCBR) area of Environment, Genetics and Oncobiology (CIMAGO), Faculty of Medicine, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

Correspondence to: Maria João Amaral (ORCID: 0000-0002-7494-3218). Department of General Surgery, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra - Praceta Prof. Mota Pinto, 3004-561 Coimbra, Portugal. Email: mariajoaoamaral@hotmail.com.

Abstract: Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is challenging healthcare systems. Surgical care during the COVID-19 pandemic presents numerous challenges regarding not only patient and community safety, but also the safety of physicians and operating room (OR) staff. In the OR, general anaesthesia poses a risk of aerosolization during the intubation process. There is also concern about minimally invasive surgery due to aerosol formation. The SARS-CoV-2 virus was also identified in the faeces, so this is a possible pathway for transmission. This article presents the role of spinal anaesthesia (SA) and AirSeal® device in reducing the risk of COVID-19 contamination while performing transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS). A 59-year-old patient, diagnosed with a T1 rectal adenocarcinoma, was submitted to TAMIS. The surgery was done during COVID-19 pandemic due to the risk of oncological progression with delayed treatment, under SA and using the AirSeal® system and adequate personnel protective equipment (PPE). Laparoscopic smoke evacuation systems with appropriate filters, like AirSeal®, minimize the aerosol formation and may reduce the risk associated with surgical smoke exposure. This case is unique because the patient was safely submitted to TAMIS using proper PPE and AirSeal® under SA, in order to minimize aerosol formation which is crucial during COVID-19 pandemic.

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2; coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19); transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS); spinal anaesthesia; AirSeal® system; case report

Received: 20 July 2020; Accepted: 17 December 2020; Published: 20 April 2021.
doi: 10.21037/ales-20-103
View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ales-20-103
protective equipment (PPE). General anaesthesia (GA) not only puts the team at risk but also could induce or exacerbate pulmonary complications in patients with the disease (3). The SARS-CoV-2 virus was identified in the faeces, posing this as a possible route for transmission (4).

The use of minimally invasive surgery during this pandemic should be decided on a case-by-case basis and attention should be paid to limit the leakage of gas from the trocars (1). The AirSeal® System provides active surgical smoke evacuation and filtration for laparoscopic procedures, protecting OR staff.

We present a unique case that describes the role of spinal anaesthesia (SA) combined with AirSeal® device and adequate PPE in reducing the risk of COVID-19 contamination while performing transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS), in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ales-20-103).

Case presentation

A 59-year-old male patient, with a body mass index (BMI) of 28 kg/m², was diagnosed with a 3.5 cm T1 rectal adenocarcinoma located 8–10 cm from the anal verge, in the anterior rectal wall, confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging and endoanal ultrasound. His other medical co-morbidities included type II diabetes mellitus, benign prostatic hyperplasia, and gastritis. Blood tests and chest X-ray were normal, thoracoabdominal computed tomography didn’t show any secondary lesions and the electrocardiogram (ECG) revealed an incomplete right bundle branch block. Under these conditions, the patient was considered suitable to undergo the surgical procedure.

The patient was submitted to TAMIS during COVID-19 pandemic due to the risk of oncological progression with delayed treatment. He had no COVID-19 symptoms or risk contacts and the test—viral RNA (ribonucleic acid) detection in a nasopharyngeal swab—was negative. He received standard bowel preparation one day before surgery, thromboprophylaxis and a single dose of 2 g of intravenous cefoxitin and 1 g of intravenous metronidazole for antimicrobial prophylaxis.

In the OR, he was monitored with ECG standard leads, noninvasive monitors for blood pressure, heart rate, arterial oxygen saturation, capnogram and bispectral index (BIS). Spinal block was obtained through a 25 G Quincke® spinal needle introduced in L3–L4 intervertebral space in aseptic conditions, with levobupivacaine 0.5% 2.5 mL (15 mg) and sufentanil (25 mcg). After the spinal block, the patient was placed in the lithotomy position, despite the tumour location (anterior rectal wall). This was done in order to facilitate intubation, if necessary, because of the COVID-19 associated risks. Before surgery, transurethral catheterization was performed, in order to avoid postoperative urinary retention.

Supplemental oxygen with a nasal cannula was given at 2–3 liters per minute. Sensory block level D6 was reached within 5 min after intrathecal injection. Patient's total comfort was achieved with a target-controlled infusion of propofol to maintain the BIS score at a level of 60–80.

The surgeon started the procedure by doing anal dilation, with appropriate PPE. A Lone Star Retractor® was inserted to optimize the exposure of the anal canal. Next, a Gelpoint path® was placed into the anal muscular cuff (Figure 1) and an AirSeal® system insufflator was used to insufflate continuous CO₂ to a pressure of 15 mmHg, establishing the pneumorectum in an airtight platform. Standard laparoscopic instruments (grasper, monopolar electrocautery and a 30-degree laparoscope) were used. The lesion was identified and excised tangentially to the rectal wall, ensuring an adequate oncologic margin of about 1 cm. After the excision of the lesion, the specimen was retained within the rectum and an uninterrupted suture with 2/0 V-lock closed the mucosal defect. After complete pneumorectum evacuation was achieved through the AirSeal® equipment, the Gelpoint Path® was removed and the specimen retrieved. The operation lasted about 45 minutes, having minimum bowel wall flapping and adequate smoke evacuation in this confined environment.

During the procedure, the patient was hemodynamically...
stable. After surgery, he was shifted to the postanaesthesia care unit for observation and monitoring. There, the patient’s vital signs were stable, and he received 1 g of paracetamol and 2 g of metamizole. Urinary catheter was removed and he had a spontaneous voiding. He got a full recovery of his movement function 4 hours after spinal anaesthesia and was transferred to the surgical ward. He received routine postoperative care and was discharged on postoperative day one without complications. Histologic examination showed tumour free resection margins and, one month after surgery, the patient had no symptoms.

This case report was done in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). Informed consent was obtained from the patient.

Discussion

The public health emergency caused by the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in a reallocation of health resources with a consequent reorganization of the clinical activities. Anaesthesiologists and surgeons are increasingly being faced with patients who need surgery, that are suspected or confirmed cases of COVID-19. Planning for a safe anaesthesia and surgical technique is, consequently, inevitable and extremely important.

The approach may change going towards less invasive procedures to minimize the aerosolized biological fluids (5). Regional anaesthesia is recommended when possible to reduce the risk of COVID-19 transmission, as it avoids aerosol-generating airway procedures (6).

The feasibility and safety of transanal endoscopic microsurgery and TAMIS performed under SA have been demonstrated (7,8). In a study by Lee et al., 25 patients underwent TAMIS for lesions 6–17 cm from the anal verge under SA, without intraoperative complications or postoperative morbidity (8). SA is a less invasive procedure, presents a faster postoperative recovery (7) and is more cost-effective than GA (8). It allows for a quicker recovery and reduced metabolic response to surgical stress, with less postoperative pain, early mobilization, and more oriented and awake patient at the end of the surgery (9), leading to a reduction in hospital length of stay as advocate by the SAGE guidelines (10). For these reasons, SA should be considered the technique of choice for the management of transanal endoscopic surgery, when not contraindicated. Nowadays, with the COVID-19 pandemic, SA not only has the previously mentioned advantages, but, avoiding an intubation aerosol formation, also protects physicians and other operating room personnel.

On the other hand, one of the challenges using TAMIS is the creation of a stable pneumorectum. Integrated insufflation and access systems (like the AirSeal® system insufflator, together with the Gelpoint path®) can be used to create it and maintain a steady state. They provide high-flow insufflation, continuous pressure sensing, a stable pneumorectum and continuous smoke evacuation during the procedure (11).

Laparoscopic smoke evacuation systems with appropriate filters minimize the aerosol formation and may reduce the risk associated with surgical smoke exposure during this pandemic, although the complete elimination of risk is practically unrealistic (12). This is why adequate PPE is also crucial in the OR (10). The AirSeal® port delivers a valve free access and creates and maintains an invisible barrier, with no air or smoke leak. SARS-CoV-2 virus size ranges from 0.06 to 0.14 µm (12,13). With the AirSeal® system, air and smoke are continuously evacuated through a 0.01 µm ultra-low particulate air (ULPA) filter, effective for SARS-CoV-2 particles (12,13) and better than high efficiency particulate air filter (12). This way, the role of this system is to evacuate the virus from the OR and it can also be used for other procedures besides TAMIS (10).

Although avoiding aerosol formation when combining SA and the AirSeal® system, complete elimination of risk is not well studied, making PPE essential, mainly due to the existence of false negative COVID-19 tests. Also, COVID-19 confirmed patients should be treated in a dedicated OR.

Conclusions

We suggest that TAMIS using proper PPE, Gelpoint path® and AirSeal® under SA can be performed safely during COVID-19 pandemic. If an airtight system can be achieved, all air is evacuated and filtered through the AirSeal®, which can also be used in other laparoscopic procedures. Furthermore, SA reduces the risk of aerosolization during the intubation period and the rapid recovery contributes to a shorter length of stay.
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