Introduction

Gallbladder disease is one of the most common reasons patients are referred to a general surgeon. It is estimated that around 20 million people in the United States have gallstones corresponding to roughly 700,000 cholecystectomy procedures performed each year (1-5). The laparoscopic approach to this procedure has been the mainstay since the 1990s (6). Laparoscopy has dramatically improved postoperative recovery, and rates of bile duct injuries are low (0.5%) (7). However, a 0.5% injury rate corresponds to 3,500 bile duct injuries a year and almost half of all surgeons will experience a bile duct injury during their career (4). In addition, bile duct injuries result in significant morbidity and mortality. Specifically, bile duct injuries are associated with almost a three times higher risk of mortality (8). Despite decades of laparoscopic experience, evidence suggests that the rate of bile duct injury for laparoscopic cholecystectomy continues to be double that of an open procedure (7).

Error traps

Strasberg et al. (9) described four types of error traps when performing a cholecystectomy:

(I) Infundibular view error trap;  
(II) Fundus-down error trap;  
(III) Failure to perceive an aberrant right hepatic duct on cholangiography;  
(IV) Parallel union of the cystic duct.

Infundibular view error trap

The infundibular view error trap is new since the development of laparoscopy. It typically occurs in the setting of inflammation and/or scarring and results in difficulty visualizing the structures within the hepatocystic triangle (9). When the hepatocystic triangle is contracted the wall of the gallbladder can fuse with the common hepatic duct. In these settings the infundibular-first approach can result in circumferential dissection of the common bile duct instead of the cystic duct (Figure 1A). When the common bile duct is mistaken for the cystic duct, it results in ligation of the common bile duct and transection of the common hepatic duct as the gallbladder neck is being dissected off of the liver bed (Figure 1B).

Fundus-down error trap

The fundus-down error trap typically occurs when a difficult gallbladder is converted to open. Injuries occur
because the gallbladder fuses with the portal structures and the proximal portion of the gallbladder can be difficult to delineate. When the portal structures are confused for the gallbladder wall it results in serious biliary and vascular injuries (9). This is particularly important to note because the worst injuries often occur after conversion to an open procedure (4).

**Failure to perceive aberrant anatomy on cholangiography**

Aberrant right or right posterior hepatic ducts (RPHD) can also lead to error traps that are particularly prone to injury during an infundibular-first approach. In particular, RPHD that drain into the cystic duct, gallbladder neck, or common hepatic duct are problematic (Figure 2). These anatomic variants can occur in up to 3% of patients (10,11). They can be difficult to identify and injury may be unavoidable with the infundibular-first approach. In addition, the failure to identify an aberrant right hepatic duct on cholangiogram is an error trap. In some cases the absence of aberrant anatomy can be difficult for both surgeons and radiologist to identify. Failure to identify an injury on cholangiogram results in a delay in recognition and negatively effects patient outcomes (12).

**Parallel union cystic duct**

The final error trap is the parallel union cystic duct. There are three common configurations as that the cystic duct joins the common bile duct: 75% enter at an angle, 20% run parallel, and 5% spiral around the common bile duct (9). In both open and laparoscopic cholecystectomy the parallel union of the cystic duct is prone to electrocautery injury to the wall of the common bile duct.

There are many techniques available to aid surgeons in avoiding unwanted injuries and can be split into identification techniques and operative dissection techniques.

**Identification techniques**

There are four main identification techniques that can be used to help identify structures:

(I) Intraoperative cholangiogram (IOC);

(II) Critical view of safety;

(III) Fluorescent imaging;

(IV) Intraoperative ultrasound.

---

**Figure 1** Shows how an obliterated hepatocystic triangle can cause confusion, with the common bile duct appearing to be the cystic duct (A). Figure (B) depicts the typical injury associated with this error trap. GB, gallbladder; CHD, common hepatic duct; CBD, common bile duct.

**Figure 2** Demonstrates a right posterior hepatic duct coming off the cystic duct (A), coming off the gallbladder neck (B), and coming off the common hepatic duct (C). GB, gallbladder; CD, cystic duct; RHD, right hepatic duct; RPHD, right posterior hepatic duct; LHD, left hepatic duct; CHD, common hepatic duct; CBD, common bile duct.
Intraoperative cholangiogram

IOC can be a useful tool to identify anatomy as well as early recognition of an injury. However, there is still no clear answer as to whether routine IOC is beneficial or cost effective (4). Part of this debate stems from the fact that IOC is dependent on appropriate technique and interpretation.

Critical view of safety

The critical view of safety is typically paired with the fundus-first approach to avoid injury. To obtain a critical view of safety, the proximal 1/3 of the gallbladder must be dissected off the liver, the hepatocystic triangle must be widely cleared, and only two structures remain heading to the gallbladder (13,14). Even though the critical view of safety is an effective tool, retrospective studies have shown that surgeons meet the criteria in a minority of cases (15,16).

Fluorescent imaging

Fluorescent intraoperative cholangiography has been available since 2009 and has benefits when compared to IOC. Fluorescence has a lower setup time and eliminates radiation exposure to both the patient and staff (17). In addition, fluorescence does not require the placement of a cholangiocatheter and thus can avoid a catheter related bile duct injury (18). Although, studies have demonstrated that fluorescent imaging is safe and has promise, studies have not been large enough to show reduction in biliary injury (5).

Intraoperative ultrasound

Intraoperative ultrasound is another tool available for both open and laparoscopic cases. Ultrasound can aid in defining anatomy (19,20), identifying common bile duct stones (21,22), and locating the proximity of the middle hepatic vein to the gallbladder (a common cause for massive bleeding during cholecystectomy) (23-25). An intraoperative ultrasound showing a close association of the middle hepatic vein and gallbladder fossa is shown in Figure 3. However, the use of ultrasound can be difficult and has a learning curve to be used effectively (26,27).

Operative dissection techniques

There are two main operative techniques when approaching a cholecystectomy and a third novel technique that will be described in more detail:

(I) Infundibular-first technique;
(II) Top-down (fundus-first);
(III) Semi-top-down technique.

Infundibular-first approach

The infundibular-first technique is the classic approach to a laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A top down approach can be performed laparoscopically but results in a mobile fundus that makes visualization and retraction difficult. This is the main reason the infundibular-first approach gained popularity. Dissection with this technique begins at the infundibulum and relies on upward retraction of the gallbladder fundus and lateral retraction of the infundibulum. The lateral retraction of the infundibulum helps pull the cystic duct closer to a 90° angle to the common bile duct making dissection easier. Although this technique works in the majority of cases it is prone to error trapping in certain circumstances as described above.

Top-down approach

The top-down approach to a cholecystectomy is the most common approach to an open cholecystectomy. Although this approach can be performed laparoscopically, it tends to be difficult due to the fundus of the gallbladder limiting visualization. The top-down approach is safe and can be thought of an exaggerated critical view of safety. However, it is also prone to error traps in severe inflammation as described above.

Figure 3 Intraoperative ultrasound showing middle hepatic vein in direct contact with gallbladder fossa.
Proposed technique: the semi-top-down approach (Figure 4)

The patient should be positioned supine with arms out and a foot-board in place if steep reverse Trendelenburg is anticipated. After the patient is prepped and timeout is performed, four trochars are placed depending on surgeon preference for entry and position. A high resolution 30° or 45° scope should be used to aid in visualization. It is important to identify anatomic landmarks prior to starting dissection. This includes Rouviere’s sulcus (where the right posterior portal pedicle is located), the common bile duct, and the gallbladder infundibulum.

In contrast to the infundibular-first approach, the semi-top-down technique starts well above the infundibulum. The peritoneum is scored and dissection is directed laterally and to the side of the gallbladder. Once the lateral peritoneum is open, dissection is carried over-top of the infundibulum and along the medial aspect of the gallbladder. After the peritoneum is circumferentially opened the gallbladder is dissected off of the liver bed with a combination of blunt and electrocautery. Dissection continues until 1/3 to 1/2 of the proximal gallbladder is free from the liver. Unlike a top-down technique, the fundus of the gallbladder is kept attached to the liver to keep it out of the dissection field. The remaining dissection of the hepatocystic triangle mimics that of a top-down technique; approach to the infundibulum/cystic duct junction and the portal structures is with wide exposure and proceeding from the body of the gallbladder toward the porta hepatitis. Dissection is carried out until two structures are entering the gallbladder and thus obtaining an exaggerated critical view of safety. The cystic artery and duct are clipped and cut separately. The remaining gallbladder is detached from the liver and removed in an endocatch bag.

Conclusions

The semi-top-down technique to a laparoscopic cholecystectomy has the visual advantages of an infundibular-first approach and the safety advantages of a top-down approach. Thus, it utilizes the advantages while eliminating the disadvantages of both techniques.
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