**Introduction**

Herniation of the vermiform appendix into the inguinal canal was first described in 1736 by Claudius Amyand, and now bears his eponym (Amyand's hernia). Modern studies assessing its prevalence have reported it in 0.14–0.6% of inguinal hernias in adults (1-5), and in 0.4–1.0% of pediatric hernias (4,6-9). The majority of pediatric patients with Amyand's hernias presented with asymptomatic inguinal hernias in all of the largest case series available (1,7). In adults, however, the two largest case series both reported that 100% of their diagnoses were made during emergency surgical intervention for presumed strangulated or incarcerated hernias (3,5), and only a small number of asymptomatic cases are reported in available literature (10). None of these asymptomatic appendices were incarcerated. Preoperative diagnosis with imaging is exceedingly rare, as incarcerated and strangulated hernias tend to be diagnosed clinically (11). We report an unusually asymptomatic presentation of this rare hernia, describe our minimally invasive approach to its reduction, and discuss salient decisions made during the procedure. This is the sole known report of a combination of totally extraperitoneal preperitoneal (TEP) repair with appendectomy for treatment of an incarcerated Amyand's hernia, as well as the only known report of an asymptomatic incarcerated Amyand's hernia.

**Case presentation**

The patient was a 71-year-old man who presented to outpatient clinic with one month of a generally painless bulge in his right groin. He had a history of right sided
inguinal hernia repair 7 years prior, which was performed without mesh to accommodate the patient’s preference against placement of a permanent prosthetic. On presentation, his vitals were stable and he was noted to have a 3 cm by 3 cm, non-reducible mass in the right groin that was only mildly tender to palpation and consistent with a recurrent right inguinal hernia. He had no other complaints. He was scheduled for elective hernia repair three weeks later via a TEP approach using a resorbable mesh (Ventralight), again due to patient preference.

On the day of his outpatient surgery, after infusion of cefazolin, the preperitoneal space was accessed via a 10-mm incision to the left of the umbilicus, and the laparoscope was inserted into this port. Two 5-mm ports were placed inferiorly in the midline for instrumentation. The space was dissected until the anatomic landmarks were clear and the spermatic cord contents were isolated, at which point it became apparent that a hernia sac was present adjacent to the cord, through which the patient’s appendix emerged (Figure 1). The appendix lacked inflammation (Figure 2) but was large and incarcerated. Reduction of the appendix into the abdomen could not be performed nor could the base of the appendix be visualized from the preperitoneal space. The decision was made to place the absorbable mesh around the cord, deflate the preperitoneal space, and convert to an intraperitoneal approach for reduction without making new incisions.

On entry, the patient’s otherwise healthy vermiform appendix was observed to be incarcerated in the defect (Figure 3). We then proceeded to manually dilate the peritoneal defect with dissecting forceps while applying traction to the base of the appendix and were able to achieve complete reduction. The defect was closed with an endoloop and the appendix was subsequently stapled in standard fashion due to ischemic changes that were noted after reduction (Figure 4). There was no perforation of the appendix.
appendix and no prophylactic antibiotics were prescribed postoperatively. Histologic evaluation of the appendix revealed serosal adhesions without other abnormalities. The patient was discharged the same day and has had no complications to date on follow up.

**Discussion**

Due to the rarity of the defect, information regarding the presentation and management of Amyand’s hernia in an adult comes entirely from case reports and series. One such series reports 18 cases in a 15-year time period at a single institution, all of which underwent open emergency inguinal hernia repair for clinical diagnoses of strangulated hernias and all of which were diagnosed intraoperatively (5). Emergent surgical intervention in the treatment of Amyand’s hernia is also described in many other available case reports (3,12), although appendicitis within Amyand’s hernia only makes up approximately 0.1% of cases of appendixitis (3-5,13,14). Our current report is unique in that it demonstrates the finding of an asymptomatic, incarcerated appendix within the hernia sac. Based on intraoperative observations, we expect that this patient would have developed strangulation or appendicitis in the near future. It is conceivable that the insufflation in the preperitoneal space worsened incarceration of an otherwise mobile appendix, though the defect was small relative to the size of the appendix and strongly suggested chronic incarceration.

In this case, we elected to continue our minimally invasive approach without converting to an open approach or introducing new ports. The majority of available case reports and series discuss open hernia repair necessitated by the urgent presentation of these hernias, with or without appendectomy (5). Appendectomy has been performed via the inguinal incision, through an open abdominal incision, or through laparoscopy. From a TEP repair, no case reports have clearly identified appendectomy performed in the preperitoneal space or via conversion to laparoscopy. There have been reports of preperitoneal management without appendectomy for reducible hernias (10) as well as laparoscopic management of the appendix with delayed repair of the hernia defect for treatment of acute appendicitis (15,16). Our case is the sole known report of a non-reducible appendix identified during TEP with successful repair of the hernia and successful intraabdominal reduction and excision of the appendix. We feel that continued laparoscopic management is a safe option in a case of Amyand’s hernia that is diagnosed during routine laparoscopic preperitoneal inguinal hernia repair, even when the appendix is incarcerated or appendectomy is necessary. The same port sites used to access the preperitoneal space can be used for abdominal access and appendectomy without increased morbidity to the patient.

In addition to minimizing recovery time and patient discomfort, our approach also minimized the risk of infection of the mesh. It is suggested by several authors that a Bassini or other mesh-free repair be undertaken in any case that requires appendectomy due to the risk of abdominal contamination and infection of the mesh (5,17). In our method, the defect in the peritoneum was closed and the preperitoneal space was isolated from the abdominal cavity prior to appendectomy. In addition, there was no appendiceal perforation during reduction. The use of mesh has not been shown to increase risks of infection or other complications in considerably more extensive colorectal procedures (18). We therefore felt that appendectomy in this case was not a contraindication to a mesh repair. We would still favor a mesh free repair in the case of appendiceal perforation within the preperitoneal space, as has been reported (4). Another consideration to reduce mesh infection risk includes prophylactic postoperative antibiotics.

It is not always necessary to remove the appendix in a case of Amyand’s hernia. One review recommended a four-tiered classification scheme [1–4] based on the appearance of the appendix, and suggested that type 1 hernias without visible inflammation of the appendix can be managed without appendectomy, preferring appendectomy only in younger patients (19). There have been no studies to validate this classification scheme, but other authors discuss similar management under the paradigm that appendectomy slightly increases the risk of infection (4,11,17). An exception is the report of five patients who underwent open mesh hernia repair and appendectomy without an inflamed appendix and did well postoperatively (20). We agree that a healthy appendix need not be excised if it is easily reduced into the abdomen. In this case, we elected to perform appendectomy due to the ischemic appearance of the appendiceal tip after reduction. The threshold to remove the appendix in order to avoid future appendectomy should be low if the perceived risk of appendectomy is also low.

In conclusion, Amyand’s hernias in adults tend to be diagnosed intraoperatively after presenting with symptoms of incarcerated or strangulated hernia. Our report demonstrates that the diagnosis of an incarcerated...
Amyand’s hernia is not excluded by an asymptomatic clinical presentation. When it is discovered during TEP repair of the hernia, mesh repair can be performed in the preperitoneal space and conversion to intra-abdominal laparoscopy can preserve a minimally invasive approach. This method isolates the mesh from the abdomen and may reduce the risk of infection of the mesh if appendectomy is performed.
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