



Surgical treatment of rectal cancer: innovations and controversies

During last decades, there have been a number of critical improvements for the treatment of rectal cancer. Since the milestone description of the abdominoperineal resection by Miles more than 100 years ago, for treatment of lower rectal tumors, surgical advances have progressed along the last century including low anterior resection with Knight and Griffen reconstruction, colonic pouches, etc. However, the most important advance was the description by Heald in the 80's of the concept of total mesorectal excision. This new paradigm permitted to reduce the local recurrence below 5%. During last years and in parallel with the development of minimally invasive surgery, a number of technical and conceptual advances have occurred in the field of medico-surgical treatment of rectal tumors. I want to thank to ALES to have the opportunity to resume in this monographic issue a number of this innovative ideas and controversies in rectal cancer treatment. An important trend during the last years has been to develop organ preserving treatments, using the transanal approach. Flexible endoscopic ESD and EMR (Arezzo), transanal rigid platform (TEM) (Allaix) and transanal soft platform (TAMIS) (Bergamaschi) are analyzed comparing the pros and cons of these conservative approaches. Laparoscopic surgery has been demonstrated to be an effective approach to the lower rectal cancer, but dealing with tumors located in the distal third of the rectum continues to be a challenge. Recent improvements include robotic approach (Gorgun), as well the innovative TaTME access (Bonjer). Both techniques are looking for its exact role in the management of difficult lower rectal cancer. An additional technical advance that has a promising role for the evaluation of the bowel perfusion during surgery of the large bowel and rectum is the use of indocyanine green dye (Diana), a complementary intraoperative exploration that add paramount information for the intraoperative decision making. In some instances, abdominoperineal resection could not be avoided, and a current controversy looking for the definitive role of extended rectal resection continues to be open (Biondo). Finally, the greater success for treatment of cancer is to avoid surgery. Chemoradiotherapy may be a definitive radical treatment without the need of further surgery, and a chapter dealing with this topic is also included (Habr-Gama). For sure exists a number of additional topics related to rectal cancer that could be of interest, but we considered that the current index with so high quality authors covers extensively most important controversies. I hope that this monographic issue will be of interest for the reader, helping to update the most advanced knowledge on rectal cancer treatment.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Annals of Laparoscopic and Endoscopic Surgery* for the series "Rectal Cancer". The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ales.2018.06.02>). The series "Rectal Cancer" was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. EMT served as the unpaid Guest Editor of the series and serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Annals of Laparoscopic and Endoscopic Surgery* from Oct 2016 to Sep 2018. AB served as the unpaid Guest Editor of the series. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.



Eduardo Mª Targarona



Andrea Balla

Eduardo Mª Targarona, MD, PhD, FACS

*General and Digestive Surgery Unit, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau,
Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.
(Email: etargarona@santpau.cat)*

Andrea Balla, MD

*General and Digestive Surgery Unit, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau,
Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.
(Email: andrea.balla@gmail.com)*

Received: 08 June 2018; Accepted: 20 June 2018; Published: 21 June 2018.

doi: 10.21037/ales.2018.06.02

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ales.2018.06.02>

doi: 10.21037/ales.2018.06.02

Cite this article as: Targarona EM, Balla A. Surgical treatment of rectal cancer: innovations and controversies. Ann Laparosc Endosc Surg 2018;3:54.