I read with great interest the article published in *Surgical Endoscopy* by Oh et al. entitled “Effects of preoperative ultrasound-guided transversus abdominis plane block on pain after laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer: a double-blind randomized controlled trial” (1). The authors should be commended for a well-planned and executed study.

The use of ultrasound-guided peripheral nerve blocks to help prevent and treat pain in an increasingly larger group of patients, injuries, and surgical procedures is very exciting to both surgeons and anesthesiologists, as well as to our patients. Transversus abdominis plane (TAP) blocks for abdominal surgery have become a popular modality over the past 10 years. The analgesic efficacy of ultrasound-guided TAP blocks has been increasingly studied recently, and analgesic benefit has been found when these blocks are performed for abdominal laparotomy, laparoscopy, and cesarean section (2).

In their study, Oh et al. (1) did not find a difference in pain scores on coughing or at rest after laparoscopic colorectal surgery in patients receiving bilateral TAP blocks with either 0.25% bupivacaine or saline. The authors also did not find any difference in opioid consumption, sedation, nausea, complications or length of stay (1). Of interest, the duration of analgesic effect of peripheral nerve blocks with 0.25% bupivacaine can be anywhere from 12–18 hours. In this study, the authors recorded all their measures at 24, 48, and 72 hours after surgery. This means that any analgesic benefit from the TAP block with bupivacaine would have mostly worn off by the time data was first recorded. This could easily explain the lack of difference between the groups. Either the use of a longer acting agent, or the addition of a local anesthetic adjunct like epinephrine or clonidine to prolong the analgesic effect could have extended the duration of analgesia past 24 hours. Also, recording of study data at more frequent time points such as 4, 8, 12, 16, and 24 hours after surgery may have also shown a statistically significant difference in the two groups at any of those measurement points.

The authors compared their study to three recent similar investigations (3-5). The study by Smith et al. (3) compared 142 patients who received either bilateral TAP block or no block, and found no difference in pain scores or morphine consumption. However, all patients received port site local anesthetic infiltration with bupivacaine 0.25% which provides a baseline level of analgesia for both groups. Conoghan et al. (4) studied 74 patients who received either TAP block or no block and found decreased use of morphine in the TAP block. Walter et al. (5) randomized 68 patients to TAP vs. no TAP and found decreased morphine use in the TAP group. None of these studies found significant differences in the pain scores recorded.

The meta-analysis by Baeriswyl et al. (2) put together data on 1,611 patients who participated in 51 controlled trials which compared TAP block to either a control group or placebo. The data showed that ultrasound-guided TAP block reduced IV morphine consumption 6 hours after surgery by a mean of 6 mg (2). This difference persisted for 24 hours and was not affected by timing of injection, block approach, or presence of a postoperative multimodal analgesia regimen (2). In addition, pain scores at rest and on movement where decreased in the TAP block groups 6 hours after surgery (2).

In our practice, our surgeons still prefer this group of...
patients, whether receiving laparoscopic or open resection of their colorectal cancer, to receive thoracic epidurals for postoperative pain management. They feel that it provides the opportunity for better pain management while the patient remains NPO until return of normal bowel function during their hospital stay. Our acute pain management service works hard to efficiently wean our patients off the epidural infusion as soon as they are receiving adequate pain control with PO pain medications. This assists with the goal of getting patients up and out of bed, helps the prevention of development of deep venous thrombosis and atelectasis, and helps to discharge patients from the hospital in a timely manner. We will perform TAP blocks with long acting local anesthetics on occasion when patients refuse placement of the epidural or have a contraindication to placement of the epidural catheter.

These and other recent studies and reviews show that there is still lots to learn on the anatomy and analgesic coverage of TAP blocks. The institution of multimodal pain management for patients undergoing abdominal surgery, and limiting the use of opioids and their associated side effects is very important. Hopefully in the near future we will have more precise recommendations as to which type of block benefits which group of surgical patients.
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